

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,952	03/05/2002	James Jago	501094.01	8389	
75	90 10/23/2002				
Edward W. Bulchis, Esq.			EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WI Suite 3400			PATEL, M.	. PATEL, MAULIN M	
1420 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3737	·	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<b>—</b> ;	10/091,952	JAGO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maulin Patel	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
eriod for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 i	<u> March 2002</u> .					
This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
	This detail is the second tion for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayre, 1955 5.5. 11, 100 5.5						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6 and 14-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7-13 and 24-28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	ivominer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	Coo 37 CED 1 85/a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)i disap	proved by the Examilier.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10(-) (-) (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  ★ Soo the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Note	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/091,952

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peterson et al. Peterson et al., discloses the claimed invention including a method of coupling signals from respective transducer elements (claims 1-5), the method comprising a scanhead for transmitting and receiving signals (figure 1, reference 10) a Application/Control Number: 10/091,952

Art Unit: 3737

combiner for combining the receiving signal (figure 1, reference 36), and an ultrasonic processor (figure 1 reference 30 and 38).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al., in view of Hwang et al. Peterson et al., discloses the claimed invention including a method of coupling signals from respective transducer elements (claims 1-5), the method comprising a scanhead for transmitting and receiving signals (figure 1, reference 10) a combiner for combining the receiving signal (figure 1, reference 36), and an ultrasonic processor (figure 1 reference 30 and 38). However, Peterson et al., does clearly teach a communication link. Hwang et al., teaches the use of a communication link coupled to the signal combiner insofar as there is integrated circuitry in the scanhead that links to a ultrasound processor (columns 3-4). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the communication link of Hwang et al., with the ultrasound system of Peterson et al., in order to image a region of interest.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7-13 are 24-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maulin Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-6933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on 703-308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0758.

Maulin Patel

October 17, 2002

Francis . Jaworski Primary Examiner